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## Introduction

The test papers will be marked by external markers. The markers will follow the mark scheme in this booklet, which is provided here to inform teachers.

This booklet contains the mark scheme for paper 1 at all tiers. The paper 2 and the extension paper mark schemes are printed in separate booklets. Questions have been given names so that each one has a unique identifier irrespective of tier.

## The structure of the mark schemes

The marking information for questions is set out in the form of tables, which start on page 11 of this booklet. The columns on the left-hand side of each table provide a quick reference to the tier, question number, question part, and the total number of marks available for that question part.

The 'Correct response' column usually includes two types of information:

- a statement of the requirements for the award of each mark, with an indication of whether credit can be given for correct working, and whether the marks are independent or cumulative;
- examples of some different types of correct response, including the most common and the minimum acceptable.

The 'Additional guidance' column indicates alternative acceptable responses, and provides details of specific types of response that are unacceptable. Other guidance, such as when 'follow through' is allowed, is provided as necessary.

## General guidance

## Using the mark schemes

Answers that are numerically equivalent or algebraically equivalent are acceptable unless the mark scheme states otherwise.

In order to ensure consistency of marking, the most frequent procedural queries are listed on the following two pages with the prescribed correct action. This is followed by further guidance, relating to marking of questions that involve money, time, coordinates, algebra or probability. Unless otherwise specified in the mark scheme, markers should apply the following guidelines in all cases.

What if ...
$\left.\begin{array}{|r|l|}\hline \begin{array}{r}\text { The pupil's response } \\ \text { does not match } \\ \text { closely any of the } \\ \text { examples given. }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Markers should use their judgement in deciding whether the response } \\ \text { corresponds with the statement of requirements given in the 'Correct response' } \\ \text { column. Refer also to the additional guidance. }\end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{r}\text { The pupil has } \\ \text { responded in a } \\ \text { non-standard way. }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Calculations, formulae and written responses do not have to be set out in any } \\ \text { particular format. Pupils may provide evidence in any form as long as its } \\ \text { meaning can be understood. Diagrams, symbols or words are acceptable for } \\ \text { explanations or for indicating a response. Any correct method of setting out } \\ \text { working, however idiosyncratic, is acceptable. Provided there is no ambiguity, } \\ \text { condone the continental practice of using a comma for a decimal point. }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { The pupil has made a } \\ \text { conceptual error. }\end{array} \begin{array}{l}\text { In some questions, a method mark is available provided the pupil has made } \\ \text { a computational, rather than conceptual, error. A computational error is } \\ \text { a 'slip' such as writing } 4 \times 6=18 \text { in an otherwise correct long multiplication. } \\ \text { A conceptual error is a more serious misunderstanding of the relevant } \\ \text { mathematics; when such an error is seen no method marks may be awarded. } \\ \text { Examples of conceptual errors are: misunderstanding of place value, such as } \\ \text { multiplying by 2 rather than 20 when calculating 35 } \times 27 \text {; subtracting the }\end{array}\right\}$

| The final answer is wrong but the correct answer is shown in the working. | Where appropriate, detailed guidance will be given in the mark scheme and must be adhered to. If no guidance is given, markers will need to examine each case to decide whether: <br> the incorrect answer is due to a transcription error; | If so, award the mark. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | in questions not testing accuracy, the correct answer has been given but then rounded or truncated; | If so, award the mark. |
|  | the pupil has continued to give redundant extra working which does not contradict work already done; | If so, award the mark. |
|  | the pupil has continued, in the same part of the question, to give redundant extra working which does contradict work already done. | If so, do not award the mark. Where a question part carries more than one mark, only the final mark should be withheld. |
| The pupil's answer is correct but the wrong working is seen. | A correct response should always be marked as correct unless the mark scheme states otherwise. |  |
| The correct response has been crossed (or rubbed) out and not replaced. | Mark, according to the mark scheme, any legible crossed (or rubbed) out work that has not been replaced. |  |
| More than one answer is given. | If all answers given are correct (or a range of answers is given, all of which are correct), the mark should be awarded unless prohibited by the mark scheme. If both correct and incorrect responses are given, no mark should be awarded. |  |
| The answer is correct but, in a later part of the question, the pupil has contradicted this response. | A mark given for one part should not be disallowed for working or answers given in a different part, unless the mark scheme specifically states otherwise. |  |

## Marking specific types of question

| Responses involving money <br> For example: $£ 3.20 \quad$ f7 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Accept $\checkmark$ | Do not accept $\times$ |
| $\checkmark$ Any unambiguous indication of the correct amount <br> eg $£ 3.20(p), f 320, £ 3,20$, <br> 3 pounds 20, $£ 3-20$, <br> £ 20 pence, $£ 3: 20$, <br> £7.00 <br> $\checkmark$ The $£$ sign is usually already printed in the answer space. Where the pupil writes an answer other than in the answer space, or crosses out the $f$ sign, accept an answer with correct units in pounds and/or pence <br> eg 320p, <br> 700p | x Incorrect or ambiguous use of pounds or pence <br> eg $£ 320, £ 320$ p or $£ 700$ p, or 3.20 or 3.20 p not in the answer space. <br> x Incorrect placement of decimal points, spaces, etc or incorrect use or omission of 0 <br> eg $£ 3.2, £ 3$ 200, $£ 320$, £3-2-0, <br> £7.0 |


| Responses involving time <br> A time interval For example: 2 hours 30 mins |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Accept $\sqrt{ }$ | Take care ! Do not accept $\times$ |
| $\checkmark$ Any unambiguous indication eg 2.5 (hours), 2h 30 <br> $\checkmark$ Digital electronic time ie $2: 30$ | x Incorrect or ambiguous time interval <br> eg 2.3(h), 2.30, 2-30, 2h 3, 2.30min <br> ! The time unit, hours or minutes, is usually printed in the answer space. Where the pupil writes an answer other than in the answer space, or crosses out the given unit, accept an answer with correct units in hours or minutes, unless the question has asked for a specific unit to be used. |
| A specific time For example: 8.40am, 17:20 |  |
| Accept $\sqrt{ }$ | Do not accept $\times$ |
| $\checkmark$ Any unambiguous, correct indication eg $08.40,8.40,8: 40,0840,840$, 8-40, twenty to nine, $8,40$ <br> $\checkmark$ Unambiguous change to 12 or 24 hour clock <br> eg 17:20 as $5: 20 \mathrm{pm}, 17: 20 \mathrm{pm}$ | x Incorrect time <br> eg $8.4 \mathrm{am}, 8.40 \mathrm{pm}$ <br> x Incorrect placement of separators, spaces, etc or incorrect use or omission of 0 eg 840, 8:4:0, 084, 84 |

## Responses involving coordinates

For example: (5,7)

| Accept $\checkmark$ | Do not accept $\times$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| ```\checkmark ~ U n a m b i g u o u s ~ b u t ~ u n c o n v e n t i o n a l ~ notation eg (05,07) (five, seven ) (  (x=5, y=7)``` | x Incorrect or ambiguous notation eg $(7,5)$ ( $5 x, 7 y$ ) $(x 5, y 7)$ $\left(5^{x}, 7^{y}\right)$ |

## Responses involving the use of algebra

For example: $2+n \quad n+2 \quad 2 n$

| Accept $\checkmark$ | Take care ! Do not accept $\times$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ The unambiguous use of a different case <br> eg $N$ used for $n$ <br> $\checkmark$ Unconventional notation for multiplication <br> eg $n \times 2$ or $2 \times n$ or $n 2$ or $n+n$ for $2 n$ $n \times n$ for $n^{2}$ <br> $\checkmark$ Multiplication by 1 or 0 <br> eg $2+1 n$ for $2+n$ $2+0 n$ for 2 <br> $\checkmark$ Words used to precede or follow equations or expressions <br> eg $t=n+2$ tiles or tiles $=t=n+2$ <br> for $t=n+2$ <br> $\checkmark$ Unambiguous letters used to indicate expressions $\text { eg } \quad t=n+2 \text { for } n+2$ <br> $\checkmark$ Embedded values given when solving equations $\text { eg } \begin{aligned} & 3 \times 10+2=32 \\ & \\ & \text { for } 3 x+2=32 \end{aligned}$ | ! Words or units used within equations or expressions should be ignored if accompanied by an acceptable response, but should not be accepted on their own <br> eg do not accept $n \text { tiles }+2$ $n \mathrm{~cm}+2$ <br> x Change of variable <br> eg $x$ used for $n$ <br> $\times$ Ambiguous letters used to indicate expressions $\text { eg } n=n+2$ <br> However, to avoid penalising any of the three types of error above more than once within each question, do not award the mark for the first occurrence of each type within each question. Where a question part carries more than one mark, only the final mark should be withheld. <br> $\times$ Embedded values that are then contradicted eg for $3 x+2=32$, $3 \times 10+2=32, x=5$ |

## Responses involving probability

A numerical probability should be expressed as a decimal, fraction or percentage only.

For example: 0.7

| Accept $\checkmark$ | Take care ! Do not accept $\times$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ A correct probability that is correctly expressed as a decimal, fraction or percentage. <br> Equivalent decimals, fractions or percentages eg $0.700, \frac{70}{100}, \frac{35}{50}, 70.0 \%$ <br> $\checkmark$ A probability correctly expressed in one acceptable form which is then incorrectly converted, but is still less than 1 and greater than 0 $\text { eg } \quad \frac{70}{100}=\frac{18}{25}$ | The following four categories of error should be ignored if accompanied by an acceptable response, but should not be accepted on their own. <br> ! A probability that is incorrectly expressed <br> eg 7 in 10 , 7 out of 10, 7 from 10 <br> ! A probability expressed as a percentage without a percentage sign. <br> ! A fraction with other than integers in the numerator and/or denominator. <br> However, each of the three types of error above should not be penalised more than once within each question. Do not award the mark for the first occurrence of each type of error unaccompanied by an acceptable response. Where a question part carries more than one mark, only the final mark should be withheld. <br> ! A probability expressed as a ratio eg 7:10, $7: 3,7$ to 10 <br> $\times$ A probability greater than 1 or less than 0 |

## Recording marks awarded on the test paper

All questions, even those not attempted by the pupil, will be marked, with a 1 or a 0 entered in each marking space. Where 2 m can be split into 1 m gained and 1 m lost, with no explicit order, then this will be recorded by the marker as 1

The total marks awarded for a double page will be written in the box at the bottom of the right-hand page, and the total number of marks obtained on the paper will be recorded on the front of the test paper.

A total of 120 marks is available in each of tiers 3-5, 4-6, 5-7 and 6-8. The extension paper carries 42 marks.

## Awarding levels

The sum of the marks gained on paper 1, paper 2 and the mental arithmetic paper determines the level awarded. Level threshold tables, which show the mark ranges for the award of different levels, will be available on the QCA website (www.qca.org.uk) from Wednesday, 26 June 2002. QCA will also send a copy to each school in July.

Schools will be notified of pupils' results by means of a marksheet, which will be returned to schools by the External Marking Agency with the pupils' marked scripts. The marksheet will include pupils' scores on the test papers and the levels awarded.

The 2002 key stage 3 mathematics tests and mark schemes were developed by the Mathematics Test Development Team at QCA.

## BLANK PAGE

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Tier \& Question} \& \& \& \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Half} <br>
\hline 3-5 \& 4-6 \& 5-7 \& 6-8 \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline 1 \& \& \& \& \& Correct response \& Additional guidance \& <br>

\hline \& \& \& \& 1m \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Both correct, ie <br>
more than half $\square$
$\square$
<br>
half

\end{tabular} \& \& <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

| Tier | Question |  |  | Robot |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 |  |  | Correct response | Additional guidance |
| a |  | 1 m | Correct diagram, ie | $\checkmark$ Unambiguous indication eg <br> ! Arrows incorrect or omitted Ignore |
| b |  | 1m | A correct route, showing 2 Norths and 1 East eg <br> - North <br> North <br> East <br> - N <br> E <br> N <br> - East <br> N <br> N | $\checkmark$ Identical steps combined <br> eg, in part (b) <br> - Move 2 m north, then 1 m east <br> ! Other compass points used eg, in part (b) <br> - North-east <br> East <br> West-north <br> Penalise only the first occurrence <br> ! More than the specified number of steps |
| c |  | 1m | A different correct route, also showing 2 Norths and 1 East | Do not accept in part (d). Otherwise penalise only the first occurrence, unless this error occurs alongside the error given above (other compass points used) in which case ignore <br> ! Follow through from part (b) to part (c) If the compass directions in part (b) are incorrect, accept the same directions used in part (c) but in a different order eg, from part (b) as $\mathrm{W}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$ |
| d |  | 1m | A correct route, showing one step in any direction and its inverse eg <br> - North <br> South <br> - W <br> E | - $\begin{array}{r}\mathrm{N} \\ \mathrm{W} \\ \mathrm{N}\end{array}$ <br> $\times$ Compass directions not specified <br> Do not accept the route shown only by lines on the diagram, or other ways of specifying directions <br> eg <br> - Forward <br> Right <br> Forward |



| Tier \& Question |  | Olympic Games |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-5 4-6 | 5-7 $6-8$ |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  | Correct response | Additional guidance |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 3 \mathrm{~m} \\ \text { or } \\ 2 \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ <br> or <br> 1m | Shows or implies correct totals of 131 and 28 and the intention to subtract, even if the notation is incorrect <br> eg <br> - $41+43+47=131,11+10+7=28$ <br> $131-28=117$ (error) <br> - $28-131=117$ (error) <br> - 117 given as the answer <br> or <br> Shows or implies correct differences of 30, 33 and 40 and the intention to add eg $\begin{aligned} & \text { - } 41-11=30,43-10=33,47-7=40 \\ & 30+33+40 \end{aligned}$ <br> or <br> Shows a complete correct method with not more than one error, that is followed through correctly to an answer eg <br> - $41+43+47=132$ (error), $132-28=104$ <br> - $30+23$ (error) $+40=93$ <br> Shows the totals 131 and 28 or <br> Shows the differences 30 and 33 and 40 or <br> Shows a complete correct method with not more than two errors | ! Intention to subtract not explicit Accept implicit intention to subtract eg <br> - 131 and 28 seen, with 102 given as the answer <br> ! Intention to add not explicit Accept implicit intention to add eg <br> - 30, 33 and 40 seen, with 113 given as the answer <br> ! Method not explicit <br> Accept implicit methods <br> eg <br> - 121 (error) and 28 seen, with 93 given as the answer but no other working shown |


| Tier \& Question |  |  |  |  |  | Pictogram key |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-5 | 4-6 | 5-7 6 | 6-8 |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  | Correct response | Additional guidance |
|  |  |  |  | $2 \mathrm{~m}$ <br> or <br> 1m | Correct for both male and female, ie 2 circles for male, $1 \frac{1}{2}$ circles for female <br> Correct for either male or female | ! Drawings not accurate or the same size, or the half circle is not closed Accept provided the pupil's intention is clear <br> ! Symbol other than circle used to represent 4 people Do not accept multiple symbols, eg circles and squares used. However, if the only error is to use a different symbol consistently for both male and female, mark as 1,0 |


| Tier \& Question |  |  |  | Two steps |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-5 | 4-6 5 | 5-7 | 6-8 |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |  | Correct response | Additional guidance |
| a |  |  |  | $1 \mathrm{~m}$ $1 \mathrm{~m}$ | $40$ $46$ |  |
| b |  |  |  | 1m | $12$ | ! Units given <br> Ignore <br> eg, accept <br> - 12 cm <br> ! Step size shown on diagram <br> Accept if unambiguous, but do not accept incorrect further working <br> eg, do not accept <br> - 12 shown correctly on the diagram, but 24 given as the answer <br> ! Both step sizes shown <br> Accept if unambiguous <br> eg, accept <br> - 12, 12 <br> - 12 and 12 <br> Do not accept if ambiguous <br> eg <br> - $12+12$ |


| Tier \& Question |  |  |  |  |  | Calculations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-5 | 4-6 5 | 5-7 6 | 6-8 |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  | Correct response | Additional guidance |
|  |  |  |  | 2m <br> or <br> 1m | All four decisions correct, ie <br> Any three correct decisions or <br> Both crosses are left blank, ie |  |



| Tier \& Question |  |  |  |  |  |  | Signs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-5 | 4-6 | 5-7 6 | 6-8 |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 2 |  |  |  | Correct response | Additional guidance |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \mathrm{~m} \\ & 1 \mathrm{~m} \\ & 1 \mathrm{~m} \\ & 1 \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5+2=10-3 \\ & 12-3=3 \times 3 \\ & 2+1=9 \div 3 \\ & 6-6=7-7 \\ & \text { or } \\ & 6 \div 6=7 \div 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\checkmark$ Other correct signs eg, for the first mark <br> - $5++2=10+-3$ <br> eg, for the first mark <br> - $6 \div-6=7 \div{ }^{-7}$ |  |


| Tier \& Question |  |  |  |  | Angles |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-5 |  | 5-7 6-8 |  |  |  |
| 10 | 3 |  |  | Correct response | Additional guidance |
| a | a |  | 1 m | Indicates 'acute', ie $\square$ $\square$ $\square$ $\square$ |  |
| b | b |  | 1 m | Indicates 'No' and gives a correct explanation <br> The most common correct explanations: <br> State the angles are the same eg <br> - They are both $45^{\circ}$ <br> - They both have the same amount of turn <br> - The first diagram is an enlargement of the second diagram <br> - Angle B fits onto angle A exactly <br> - They are the same, you just see more of A <br> Address the misconception <br> eg <br> - It's how much turn, not how long the lines are <br> - Just because the arms are longer it doesn't make it bigger | ! Angles measured Accept as $45 \pm 2^{\circ}$ provided both angles are the same, but do not accept incorrect measurements eg, do not accept <br> - Both are $45^{\circ}$ or $135^{\circ}$ <br> $\checkmark$ Minimally acceptable explanation eg <br> - They are the same <br> $\checkmark A$ and $B$ used to refer to the diagram rather than the angle <br> eg <br> - If you enlarge B it is the same as A <br> ! Response refers to the squares <br> Accept if there is unambiguous reference to the angles <br> eg <br> - They both go through the diagonal Do not accept if ambiguous eg <br> - They both have the same number of squares within them (could be referring to area) <br> $\checkmark$ Minimally acceptable explanation <br> eg <br> - It's just that the lines are longer <br> - Because one is smaller in size doesn't mean the angle is smaller <br> $\checkmark$ Implicit reference to the length of the lines eg <br> - B is a bit smaller but it's the same angle <br> - A has been drawn bigger than B |


| Tier \& Question |  |  |  |  | Factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | 4 |  |  | Correct response | Additional guidance |
| a | a |  | 2 m <br> or 1 m | All five correct factor pairs, in any order, with none duplicated or incorrect eg $\begin{aligned} & 1,16 \\ & 2,8 \\ & 4,4 \\ & 8,2 \\ & 16,1 \end{aligned}$ <br> At least three factor pairs correct |  |
| b | b |  | 2 m <br> or <br> 1m | All correct, ie <br> (6) <br> (12) <br> At least four correct and none incorrect or <br> At least five correct and not more than one incorrect <br> or <br> Identifies all numbers that are not factors of 12 , ie $\begin{array}{cccccc} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 \end{array}$ |  |


| Tier \& Question |  |  |  |  | Thinking of rules |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-5 |  | 5-7 $6-8$ |  |  |  |
| 12 | 5 |  |  | Correct response | Additional guidance |
| a | a |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \mathrm{~m} \\ & 1 \mathrm{~m} \\ & 1 \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | 12 <br> 3 <br> Correct response eg <br> - Add 6 <br> - +6 <br> - $\times \frac{3}{2}$ <br> - Add the number you first thought of | $\checkmark$ Multiple steps <br> eg, for the first rule <br> - 2, then add another 10 <br> - 3 , then $\times 2$ <br> ! The starting value of 6 is repeated Ignore if inserted before the given operation eg, accept <br> - first rule: 6 add 12 <br> If 6 is inserted immediately after the given operation, penalise only the first occurrence eg <br> - first rule: add $6+12$ <br> Do not accept 6 repeated after their rule eg <br> - first rule: add $12+6$ <br> $\times$ For the third rule, the operation is not specified <br> eg <br> - 6 |
| b | b |  | 1m | Gives a correct rule eg <br> - Divide by 2 <br> - $\div 2$ <br> - Halve the first number <br> - Take half of the first number away | ! Embedded rule <br> Accept provided both calculations are shown and use the same rule <br> eg <br> - $10 \div 2$ and $8 \div 2$ <br> $\checkmark$ Use of 'half' for halve <br> eg <br> - Half <br> $\times$ Incorrect rule <br> eg <br> - $-\frac{1}{2}$ <br> $\times$ Inverse rule <br> eg <br> - Double <br> $\times$ Result used to define the rule <br> eg <br> - Take the smaller number away from the bigger <br> - $10-5=5,8-4=4$ |


| Tier \& Question |  |  |  |  |  | Car parking |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-5 | 4-6 | 5-7 6 | 6-8 |  |  |  |
| 13 | 6 |  |  |  | Correct response | Additional guidance |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2 \mathrm{~m} \\ \text { or } \\ 1 \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | 75 p <br> Shows a correct multiplicative method even if there are computational errors eg <br> - $15 \div 8 \times 40$ <br> - $40 \div 8 \times 15$ <br> - $15 \times 5$ <br> - $15 \times 10 \div 2$ <br> or <br> Shows a correct additive method with not more than one computational error eg |  |


| Tier \& Question |  |  |  |  |  | Heights |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-5 | 4-6 | 5-7 | 6-8 |  |  |  |
| 14 | 7 |  |  |  | Correct response | Additional guidance |
| a | a |  |  | 1m | 1.2(0) | $\checkmark$ Correct height in centimetres, with units given |
| b | b |  |  | 1 m | 1.15 |  |
| c | c |  |  | 1 m | 170 | $\times$ Height in metres |


| Tier \& Question |  |  |  | Spinning |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-5 | 4-6 | 5-7 | 6-8 |  |  |  |
| 15 | 8 | 1 |  |  | Correct response | Additional guidance |
| a | a | a |  | 1 m $1 \mathrm{~m}$ | Gives a correct probability eg <br> - $\frac{1}{4}$ <br> - $\frac{2}{8}$ <br> - $25 \%$ <br> Gives a correct probability eg <br> - 1 <br> - $100 \%$ | $\checkmark$ Equivalent fractions <br> eg <br> - $\frac{8}{8}$ <br> - $\frac{1}{1}$ <br> ! Probability not quantified <br> Ignore descriptors alongside correct probabilities, but do not accept on their own eg, do not accept <br> - Certain <br> - Definite |
| b | b | b |  | $2 \mathrm{~m}$ <br> or <br> 1m | Shows exactly two fours, exactly two even numbers other than four, and any two odd numbers eg <br> Shows exactly two fours or <br> Shows exactly four even numbers, even if the other two entries are left blank | ! Use of zero <br> Note zero is defined as an even number |


| Tier \& Question |  |  |  |  | Interpreting algebra |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-5 | 4-6 | 5-7 6 | 6-8 |  |  |
| 16 | 9 | 3 |  | Correct response | Additional guidance |
|  |  |  | 1m | Gives a correct interpretation, by referring to at least 3 of the 4 aspects listed below <br> 1. The meaning of $a$ and $b$ (eg by using Ann and Ben, or A and B) <br> 2. The meaning of the + and $=$ signs (eg by using key words such as 'sum of' or 'total' or 'altogether' or 'add') <br> 3. The value 69 <br> 4. The given context (eg by referring to age or years) <br> eg, accept <br> - The sum of the ages of Ben and Ann is 69 (all aspects shown) <br> - Altogether A and B are 69 years old (all aspects shown) <br> - Altogether, $a$ and $b$ are 69 years old (1 $1^{\text {st }}$ aspect missing) <br> - Ann's + Ben's age $=69$ ( $2^{\text {nd }}$ aspect missing) <br> - The sum of the ages of A and Ben (3 ${ }^{\text {rd }}$ aspect missing) <br> - Together, Ann and Ben are 69 (4th aspect missing) | ! Ben's age taken to be 30 <br> Accept Ann's age unambiguously shown as 39 , with reference to both the meaning of $a$ and the given context <br> eg, accept <br> - Ann is 39 years old <br> - A's age $=39$ <br> - A is 9 years older than B <br> In English, ages are commonly referred to without years, so also accept the following <br> - A is 39 <br> However, do not accept other responses that do not refer to both the meaning of $a$ and the given context <br> eg <br> - $\mathrm{Ann}=39$ <br> Also, do not accept incorrect computation eg <br> - Ann is 29 years old |
|  |  |  | 1m | Gives a correct interpretation, by referring to the given context (eg by referring to age or years) and at least 1 of the 2 aspects listed below <br> 1. The meaning of $b$ and $c$ (eg by using Ben and Cindy, or B and C) <br> 2. The meaning of the ' 2 ' or ' $2 \times$ ' (eg by using key words such as 'twice' or 'half' or 'two times') <br> eg, accept <br> - Ben is twice as old as C <br> - C is half B's age <br> - B is twice C's age <br> - $b$ is twice $c$ 's age ( $1^{\text {st }}$ aspect missing) <br> - $\mathrm{B}=2 \times$ C's age (2 $2^{\text {nd }}$ aspect missing) | ! Ben's age taken to be 30 <br> Accept Cindy's age unambiguously shown as 15 , with reference to both the meaning of $c$ and the given context, and applying the additional guidance as given in part (a) |


| Tier | \& Que |  | Interpreting algebra (cont) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | 9 | 3 |  | Correct response | Additional guidance |
|  |  |  | 1m | Gives a correct interpretation by referring to the mean <br> and either the given context, or 28 , or both eg <br> - The mean age of Ann, Ben and Cindy is 28 <br> - 28 is the mean age <br> - 28 is the mean <br> (no reference to the given context) <br> - The mean age (no reference to 28) <br> or <br> Gives a correct interpretation by referring to the total of 84 <br> and the given context <br> eg <br> - The total age of Ann, Ben and Cindy is 84 <br> - 84 is the sum of their ages <br> or <br> Gives a correct interpretation, by referring to the given context and the denominator of 3 (eg by showing $\div 3$ ) and at least 2 of the 3 aspects listed below <br> 1. The meaning of $a, b$ and $c$ (eg by using Ann, Ben and Cindy, or A, B and C, or by using inclusive key words such as 'their' or, minimally, 'the') <br> 2. The meaning of the + signs (eg by using key words such as 'sum of' or 'total' or 'altogether' or 'add') <br> 3. The value 28 <br> eg, accept <br> - The sum of their ages divided by 3 is 28 <br> - Add A's age to B's age to C's age then divide by 3 gives the answer 28 <br> - Their total age $\div 3$ is 28 <br> - The ages of $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{C}$, then divide by three equals 28 (2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ aspect missing) <br> - Add up the ages then divide by 3 (3 ${ }^{\text {rd }}$ aspect missing) | $\checkmark$ Use of ‘average’ for mean <br> $\times$ Partial or incorrect processing eg <br> - The total of their ages is $3 \times 28$ <br> - $3 \times 28=82$ (error) which is the sum of their ages <br> ! Ambiguity as to whose age is divided by 3 Pupils who reproduce the statement in the order shown can introduce ambiguity Do not accept such responses eg, accept <br> - (Ann + Ben + Cindy's age) $\div 3=28$ <br> - Ann + Ben + Cindy's ages $\div 3=28$ <br> eg, do not accept <br> - Ann + Ben + Cindy's age $\div 3=28$ <br> - Ann's + Ben's + Cindy's age $\div 3=28$ <br> ! Ben's age taken to be 30 <br> Ignore if accompanying a correct response, otherwise do not accept eg, do not accept $\because(39+30+15) \div 3=28$ <br> ! Within the question, two equations solved correctly but with no credit given eg $a=39, c=15$ <br> Mark as $0,0,1$ |



| Tier \& Q |  | Halfway |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1811 | 41 | 1 | Correct response | Additional guidance |
| a | a | 1 m <br> 1m | 9.2 or equivalent value $24$ |  |
| b | b | $\begin{gathered} 2 \mathrm{~m} \\ o r \\ 1 \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | Shows a correct efficient method eg <br> - $30 \times 38$ <br> or <br> Shows both 1026 and 1254 <br> or <br> Shows one of 1026 or 1254, but makes error(s) when finding the other value, then follows through correctly to give a final answer eg ```- \(27 \times 38=1026,33 \times 38=1354\) (error) \(1026+1354=2380\) \(2380 \div 2=1190\) - \(27 \times 38=926\) (error) \(1254-926=328\) \(328 \div 2=164\) \(926+164=1090\) - \(1026 \div 2=513\) 1250 (error) \(\div 2=625\) \(513+625=1138\) - \(27 \times 38=1034\) (error), \(33 \times 38=1254\) \(1034+220=1254\) \(1034+110=1144\)``` | ! $30 \times 38$ or 1140 seen in the working Note that some pupils show $30 \times 38$ or 1140 as part of their calculation of $33 \times 38$ eg $\begin{aligned} & 30 \times 38=1140 \\ & 3 \times 38=114 \\ & 1140+114 \end{aligned}$ <br> Do not accept as evidence of a correct efficient method <br> ! Their incorrect value is odd Accept rounding or truncation to an integer value <br> eg $\begin{aligned} & 27 \times 38=1023 \text { (error), } 33 \times 38=1254 \\ & 1023+231=1254 \\ & 1023+115=1138 \end{aligned}$ |


| Tier \& Question |  |  |  | Survey |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-5 | 4-6 | 5-7 | 6-8 |  |  |  |
| 19 | 12 | 5 |  |  | Correct response | Additional guidance |
| a | a | a |  | 1 m | English | $\checkmark$ Unambiguous indication <br> eg, for English <br> - 2 <br> eg, for Maths <br> - 7 |
| b | b | b |  | 1m | Maths |  |
| c | c | c |  | 1 m | Gives a correct explanation <br> The most common correct explanations: <br> Calculate the percentages to show they are different <br> eg <br> $30 \%$ for boys, but only $15 \%$ for girls <br> Show that the totals are different eg <br> - It's 3 out of 10 for boys but 3 out of 20 for girls <br> - There are more girls so it's a smaller percentage <br> - The total for girls is 20 , but for boys it is 10 <br> - There are twice as many girls as boys <br> - Take the boys to be $100 \%$, then the girls will be $200 \%$ | $\times$ Percentages calculated incorrectly <br> $\times$ Incomplete explanation <br> eg <br> - The percentages are different for boys and girls <br> $\checkmark$ Minimally acceptable explanation <br> eg <br> - There are more girls <br> - It's out of different numbers <br> - It depends on how many boys and girls there are <br> - You need to look at the percentage, not just the number <br> - The percentage for boys is higher <br> - There are 10 boys and 20 girls (implicit comparison) <br> $\times$ Incorrect explanation accompanying a correct statement <br> eg <br> - Because he asked 20 girls and 10 boys and that is not a fair thing to do in a survey <br> - There are more girls than boys so girls (error) have a bigger percentage than the boys <br> - There are 10 boys and 20 girls so it couldn't be equally popular <br> $\times$ Incomplete explanation <br> eg <br> - The total for girls is 20 |
| d | d | d |  | 1 m | English |  |


| Tier \& Question |  |  |  |  |  | Solving |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-5 | 4-6 5 | 5-7 6 | 6-8 |  |  |  |
| 20 | 15 | 6 | 2 |  | Correct response | Additional guidance |
| a | a | a |  | $2 \mathrm{~m}$ <br> or $1 \mathrm{~m}$ | All three correct, ie 23 <br> 20 <br> 33 <br> Any two correct | $\times$ Incorrect notation eg <br> - $23 x$ for 23 |
| b | b | b |  | $\begin{gathered} 2 \mathrm{~m} \\ \text { or } \\ 1 \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | 3 <br> Subtracts 11 from both sides to give a correct algebraic equation eg <br> - $2 y=17-11$ <br> - $2 y+11-11=17-11$ <br> - $2 y=6$ | ! Ambiguous notation eg - $\times 3$ <br> Mark as 1,0 |
|  | c | c |  | $2 \mathrm{~m}$ <br> or $1 \mathrm{~m}$ | Correct value <br> eg <br> - $2 \frac{1}{2}$ <br> - $\frac{5}{2}$ <br> - 2.5 <br> Collects together like terms eg <br> - $9 y-5 y=13-3$ <br> - $4 y=10$ <br> - $y=10 \div 4$ <br> or <br> Shows working in which the only error is to add, rather than subtract, 3 to the right-hand side, resulting in the solution $y=4$ <br> eg <br> - $9 y+3=5 y+13$ so $4 y=16 \text { (error) so } y=4$ <br> or <br> Shows working in which the only error is to add, rather than subtract, $5 y$ to the left-hand side, resulting in the solution $y=\frac{5}{7}$, or equivalent fraction or decimal between 0.71 and 0.72 inclusive <br> eg <br> - $\begin{aligned} & 9 y+3=5 y+13 \\ & 14 y(\text { error })=10 \text { so } y=\frac{10}{14}\end{aligned}$ | $\checkmark$ Equivalent fraction or decimal <br> eg <br> - $2 \frac{2}{4}$ <br> - $\frac{10}{4}$ <br> $\times$ For $2 m$, incomplete processing <br> eg <br> - $10 \div 4$ <br> $\times$ Simplified expressions which are not equated <br> eg <br> - $9 y-5 y=4 y$ <br> $13-3=10$ <br> ! Method used is trial and improvement Note that no partial credit can be given Also note that the correct solution must be explicitly stated rather than embedded eg, do not accept <br> - $5 \times 2.5+13=9 \times 2.5+3$ without 2.5 identified as the solution |


| \|Tier \& Q | 5-7 6 -8 | Dropping litter |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | 73 | 3 | Correct response | Additional guidance |
| a | a a | 1 m | Gives a correct reason <br> The most common correct reasons are: <br> The sample size is too small eg <br> - They should ask more than 10 <br> - Not enough people <br> - 10 is too small, he should ask 100 <br> People might not respond honestly eg <br> - They might be embarrassed so won't be honest <br> - They will lie <br> - They are not likely to admit to it <br> - They might ignore the pupils <br> People might not remember <br> eg <br> - They might not remember doing it <br> People might not be consistent <br> eg <br> - They might only drop it on some days so they would say they don't drop it every day <br> - They might not drop it every day but still drop it sometimes <br> The sampling method may lead to bias eg <br> - They might only ask people in a clean area with not much litter <br> - He might only ask young people <br> Gives a correct reason from a different category to one already credited | $\checkmark$ Question would be difficult to answer <br> eg <br> - No-one would know if they did drop it every day <br> $\checkmark$ Implicit reference to the sample size being too small <br> eg <br> - Those 10 might not drop litter but others might <br> - Those people might not have any litter to drop <br> $\times$ In part (a) or part (b), conceptual misunderstanding <br> The most common of these imply that everyone in the country should be asked, or that the figure of $93 \%$ must be proved exactly, or that the exact conditions applied by the newspaper must be replicated, or that you should select the people being surveyed according to the desired outcome <br> eg <br> - 10 people is not all of us <br> - There are a lot more than 10 people in England <br> - It is not possible to get a figure of $93 \%$ with only 10 people <br> - 10 is too difficult, he should ask 100 <br> - You don't know how many people the newspaper asked <br> - You might ask the wrong people <br> ! In part (a) or part (b), more than one reason given within one response Do not accept a correct response accompanied by an incorrect response from the same category. Otherwise ignore irrelevant or incorrect further responses. If two correct reasons from different categories are given in the first response space, both marks should be awarded |



\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{$$
\begin{array}{|c|}
\hline \text { Tier \& Question } \\
\hline 3-54-65-7 \mid 6-8 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$}} \& \& \& Negatives <br>
\hline \& \& 6-8 \& \& Correct response \& Additional guidance <br>
\hline \& \& \& 1 m

1 m \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Gives two negative numbers, the second of which is 5 less than the first eg
$\square$ $-13$
$\square$ <br>
$-1$ -6 <br>
Gives two negative numbers, the second of which is 5 more than the first eg
$\square$ <br>
-6 $\square$ -1 <br>
- $\square$
$$
-15
$$

 \& 

$\times$ Zero used as a negative <br>
eg <br>
-0 <br>
! Incorrect notation <br>
eg <br>

- 15 - <br>
Penalise only the first occurrence <br>
! Neither calculation is correct but the numbers used in the second set of boxes are the same as in the first set, but in reverse order <br>
If all the numbers are negative, mark as 0,1 eg <br>
- -7 then -3 in the first, <br>
-3 then -7 in the second
\end{tabular} <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

| Tier \& Qu |  | Puzzle |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | 9 |  | Correct response | Additional guidance |
|  |  | 2m | Writes three correct algebraic expressions, the first two of which may be unsimplified <br> eg, for the first box <br> - $2 n+4$ <br> - $n+4+n$ <br> eg, for the second box <br> - $n+2$ <br> - $(2 n+4) \div 2$ <br> eg , for the third box <br> - $n$ <br> Writes correct algebraic expressions for the first two boxes, even if unsimplified <br> or <br> Writes correct algebraic expressions for the last two boxes and fully simplifies, indicating that the pupil has worked upwards <br> eg $\begin{aligned} & n+9 \text { (error) } \\ & n+2 \\ & n \end{aligned}$ <br> or <br> Within an otherwise correct response, the only error is in the notation for the expression for the second box <br> eg <br> - $2 n+4$ <br> $2 n+4 \div 2$ (error in notation only) <br> $n$ <br> or <br> The expression for the first or second box is incorrect, but is then followed through correctly including full simplification of the expression for the third box eg <br> - $n+9$ (error) <br> $\frac{n+9}{2}$ <br> $\frac{n+5}{2}($ or $0.5 n+2.5)$ <br> - $2 n+4$ <br> $n+4$ (error) <br> $n+2$ | ! Expression for the third box not fully simplified Given the context of the question, this expression must be simplified at least as far as $n+2-2$ or $\frac{2 n}{2}$ <br> eg, do not accept $\text { - } \frac{2 n+4}{2}-2$ <br> $\times$ For $2 m$, incorrect algebraic notation eg, for the second box <br> - $2 n+4 \div 2$ <br> eg $\begin{aligned} & n+9 \text { (error) } \\ & \frac{n+9}{2} \\ & \frac{n+9}{2}-2=n(\text { error }) \end{aligned}$ |


| Tier \& Question |  |  |  |  | Rectangle rest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-5 | 4-6 5-7 | 6-8 |  |  |  |
|  | 10 | 6 |  | Correct response | Additional guidance |
|  | a | a | $\begin{gathered} 2 \mathrm{~m} \\ \text { or } \\ 1 \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | Calculates, or shows on the diagram, that the other acute angle in the white triangle is 40 eg <br> - $180-60=120$, <br> $120+20=140$, <br> $180-140=40$ <br> or <br> Shows a complete correct method with not more than one computational error eg <br> - $180-(20+120)=50$ (error), $90-50=40$ <br> - $20+90=110$, <br> $110-60$ <br> - $180 \div 3=60$, <br> $60-20=50$ (error) $180-90-50=40$ | $\times 40$ seen without being located on the diagram or without supporting working |
|  | b | b | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline 2 \mathrm{~m} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \text { or } \\ 1 \mathrm{~m} \end{array}$ | Gives a correct justification eg <br> - $\angle \mathrm{DEB}$ is $120(180-60)$, $\angle \mathrm{EBD}$ is $30(180-90-60)$, so $\angle \mathrm{BDE}$ is $30(180-120-30)$ <br> As $\angle \mathrm{BDE}=\angle \mathrm{EBD}$ then triangle BDE is isosceles <br> Shows working to justify that $\angle \mathrm{DBE}$ is 30 eg $180-(90+60)=30$ | $\checkmark$ Minimally acceptable justification eg <br> - Angle at $\mathrm{B}=180-90-60=30$, so the angles in the triangle are $120,30,30$ <br> $\times$ For $2 m$ or 1m, angle of 30 not justified, or justified only by assuming the triangle is isosceles <br> eg <br> - The angles in triangle BDE are 30, 30 and 120 <br> - $180-60=120,180-120=60$, $60 \div 2=30$ |


| Tier \& Question |  |  | Mice |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-5 4-6 | 5-7 6 | 6-8 |  |  |  |
| 17 | 11 | 7 |  | Correct response | Additional guidance |
| a | a | a | 1 m | $50 \pm 2$ |  |
| b | b | b | 1m | $55 \pm 2$ |  |
| c | c | c | 1m | Indicates 'No' and gives a correct explanation <br> The most common correct explanations: <br> Refer to the fact that the number of mice is unknown <br> eg <br> - It's only percentages, the real data is not shown <br> - You need to know the actual numbers <br> - It may be out of different amounts of mice <br> - There may be more mice in homes close to woodland <br> Refer to the limitations of percentage bar charts <br> eg <br> - The charts only allow you to compare the proportions | $\checkmark$ Indicates 'Yes' and qualifies their decision by stating the assumption needed eg <br> - Provided the total number of mice is about the same <br> $\checkmark$ Minimally acceptable explanation <br> eg <br> - They've used \% so you can't tell <br> - They only show the percentage <br> - You don't know how many mice were found altogether <br> ! Explanation specifies which location gets more mice <br> The explanation must be the correct way round, ie <br> eg, do not accept <br> - There may be more mice in homes far from woodland <br> ! Explanation refers to number of homes or people, rather than number of mice <br> Condone these errors <br> eg, accept <br> - It may be out of different amounts of homes <br> - They might have asked different amounts of people who lived close to or far from woodland <br> ! Irrelevant explanation <br> If accompanied by a correct explanation, ignore <br> eg, accept <br> - There may be more mice close to woodland or the homes could be dirtier <br> ! Explanation interprets the percentages in terms of probability, or states that the percentages may not be accurate eg <br> - It doesn't mean there must be more, just that it is more likely <br> - There could be more mice that weren't found <br> Ignore if accompanying a correct response, otherwise do not accept |


| Tier \& Question |  |  |  | Marking overlay available |  | Straight lines |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-5 | 4-6 5 | 5-7 6 | 6-8 |  |  |  |
|  | 181 | 12 | 8 |  | Correct response | Additional guidance |
|  |  | a | a | 1m | Indicates 'Yes' and gives a correct explanation eg <br> - When $x=25,3 x=75$ <br> - $3 \times 25=75$ <br> - $y$ must be $3 \times x$ | $\checkmark$ Explanation does not explicitly state that the line goes through the origin <br> eg <br> - $(2.5,7.5)$ is on the line and you can times them both by 10 <br> - The line goes up three for every one it goes across <br> - $25 \div 25=1,75 \div 25=3$ and $(1,3)$ is on the line <br> $\checkmark$ Minimally acceptable explanation <br> eg <br> - $y=3 \times x$ <br> - You multiply the number on the $x$-axis by three <br> $\times$ Equation restated but not interpreted <br> eg <br> - $y=3 x$ <br> $\times$ Incomplete explanation <br> eg <br> - It goes $(1,3),(2,6)$ and so on <br> - $(2.5,7.5)$ is on the line |
|  |  | b | b | $\begin{gathered} 3 \mathrm{~m} \\ \\ \text { or } \\ 2 \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\left(2 \frac{1}{2}, 11\right)$ <br> Shows $x=2 \frac{1}{2}$ or $y=11$ <br> or <br> Shows a complete correct method for solving algebraically with not more than one error eg <br> - $4 x+1=6 x-4$ so 3 (error) $=2 x$ $x=1.5 \text { so } y=4 \times 1.5+1=7$ <br> - $y-4 x=1, y-6 x=-4$, so $2 x=3$ (error), so $x=1.5$ and $y=6 \times 1.5-4=5$ <br> - $3 y=12 x+3$ <br> $2 y=12 x-8$ <br> $y=-5$ (error) <br> $-5=4 x+1$ so $x=-1.5$ <br> or <br> For at least 4 cm , draws both lines on the graph within the tolerance as shown on the overlay | $\checkmark$ Equivalent fraction or decimal |


| Tier \& Question |  |  | Marking overlay available |  | Straight lines (cont) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-5 | 4-6 5-7 | 6-8 |  |  |  |
|  | 12 | 8 |  | Correct response | Additional guidance |
|  | b | b | $\left.\begin{gathered} o r \\ 1 \mathrm{~m} \\ \text { cont } \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ | Shows $4 x+1=6 x-4$ or equivalent <br> or <br> Attempts to solve simultaneously and forms two correct equations that would allow elimination of $x$, or subtracts the two given equations to eliminate $y$ <br> eg <br> - $3 y=12 x+3$ <br> $2 y=12 x-8$ <br> - $6 y=24 x+6$ <br> $4 y=24 x-16$ <br> - $0=2 x-5$ <br> or <br> Indicates, on the graph or elsewhere, at least two correct points on each of the lines <br> or <br> Draws one line on the graph within the tolerance as shown on the overlay, and at least of length 4 cm |  |
|  | c | c | 1m | Gives a correct explanation <br> eg <br> - Both have gradient of $\frac{1}{2}$ but they pass through $(0,3)$ and $(0,5)$ <br> - Same gradient, different intercepts <br> - The lines are parallel but are not the same <br> or <br> Gives a correct algebraic interpretation eg <br> - $\frac{1}{2} x+3 \neq \frac{1}{2} x+5$ because $3 \neq 5$ <br> - The difference will always be 2 <br> - No matter what value you put in for $x$, the $y s$ will never be the same | $\checkmark$ Implicit assumption that the lines are different <br> eg <br> - Both have gradient of $\frac{1}{2}$ <br> - Same slope <br> - The lines are parallel <br> $\checkmark$ Minimally acceptable explanation <br> eg <br> - The equations are the same except for the 3 and the 5 <br> - The second line will always be higher <br> × Incomplete or no interpretation <br> eg <br> - Because the lines do not cross <br> - Different intercepts <br> - Because of the +3 and the +5 <br> - They have the same number of $x$ <br> - Both have $\frac{1}{2}$ <br> - The difference is 2 <br> $\times$ One value only considered <br> eg <br> - When $x=10$, in the first line $y=8$ but in the second line $y=10$ |


| Tier \& Question |  |  |  |  |  | Egyptians |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-5 | 4-6 | 5-7 | 6-8 |  |  |  |
|  | 19 | 13 | 9 |  | Correct response | Additional guidance |
|  | a | a | a | 1m | $\frac{7}{10}$ or equivalent fraction | $\times$ Incorrect notation or incorrect further working eg <br> - $\frac{31 / 2}{5}$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \mathrm{a} \\ \text { or } \\ \mathrm{b} \end{array}$ |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \mathrm{a} \\ \text { or } \\ \mathrm{b} \end{gathered}\right.$ | 1m | In part (a) or (b), shows a correct method that enables addition or subtraction of fractions <br> The most common correct methods: <br> Show or imply correct common denominators eg, in part (a) <br> - $\frac{5}{10}+\frac{2}{10}$ <br> - $\frac{1}{2}=\frac{25}{50}, \frac{1}{5}=\frac{10}{50}$ <br> - $\frac{3^{1 / 2}}{5}$ <br> eg, in part (b) <br> - $\frac{1}{4}=\frac{5}{20}$ seen with no attempt to change the denominator of the fraction $\frac{9}{20}$ <br> - $\frac{1}{4}=\frac{20}{80}, \frac{9}{20}=\frac{36}{80}$ <br> - The answer is a fraction equivalent to $\frac{1}{5}$ <br> Convert correctly to decimals or percentages, even if their value is subsequently incorrectly converted back to a fraction <br> eg, in part (a) <br> - $0.5+0.2$ <br> eg, in part (b) <br> - 0.45 and 0.25 seen |  |
|  | b | b | b | 1 m | $\frac{1}{5}$ | $\checkmark$ Answer as $\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{5}$ |
|  |  | c | c | 2 m <br> or 1m | $\frac{5}{6}$ or equivalent fraction <br> Shows or implies the fractions are $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{3}$ eg <br> - $\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{3}$ | $\checkmark$ Correct working and answer shown, but the two unit fractions are given on the answer line <br> $\checkmark$ Minimally acceptable implication eg <br> - $0.5+0.33$ <br> $\times \frac{1}{1}$ as a unit fraction |


| Tier \& Question |  |  |  |  | Rearrange |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-5 | 4-6 5-7 | 6-8 |  |  |  |
|  | 14 | 10 |  | Correct response | Additional guidance |
|  |  | a | $2 \mathrm{~m}$ <br> or 1m | Rearranges correctly to make $e$ the subject eg <br> - $e=\frac{p-2 f}{2}$ <br> - $e=\frac{1}{2}(p-2 f)$ <br> - $e=\frac{p}{2}-f$ <br> - $e=-f+\frac{1}{2} p$ <br> Expands the brackets correctly <br> eg <br> - $p=2 e+2 f$ seen <br> or <br> Divides by 2 throughout eg <br> - $\frac{p}{2}=e+f$ seen <br> or <br> Expands incorrectly to give $p=2 e+f$, then follows through correctly eg <br> p $=2 e+f$ (error) <br> and so $e=\frac{p-f}{2}$ | $\checkmark$ Minimally acceptable correct rearrangement eg <br> - $e=(p-2 f) \div 2$ <br> - $e=p \div 2-f$ <br> $\mathbf{x}$ For $2 m$, incorrect equation <br> eg <br> - $e=\frac{1}{2} p-2 f$ <br> $\times p$ incorrectly multiplied by 2 at the same time as the brackets expanded eg <br> - $2 p=2 e+2 f$ <br> $\mathbf{x} e=\frac{p-f}{2}$ without previous working shown <br> As there is no way of knowing how many errors were made, do not accept |
|  |  | b | $\begin{gathered} 2 \mathrm{~m} \\ \\ \\ \text { or } \\ 1 \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | Rearranges correctly to make $d$ the subject eg $d=c-2 r$ <br> Shows $2 r-c=-d$ or $\frac{1}{2} d=\frac{1}{2} c-r$ <br> or <br> As a correct first step, multiplies by 2 , or divides by a half, throughout eg <br> - $2 r=c-d$ seen <br> - $\frac{r}{0.5}=c-d$ seen <br> - $\frac{r}{1 / 2}=c-d$ seen | $\checkmark$ Minimally acceptable correct rearrangement eg <br> - $d=(2 c-4 r) \div 2$ <br> - $d=c-\frac{r}{0.5}$ <br> - $d=c-\frac{r}{1 / 2}$ |




| Tier \& Question |  |  |  |  | MOT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-5 | 4-6 5-7 | 6-8 |  |  |  |
|  | 16 | 13 |  | Correct response | Additional guidance |
|  | a | a | 1 m | Lower value between 150 and 151 inclusive Upper value between 260 and 270 inclusive |  |
|  | b | b | 1m <br> 1 m | Correct straight line, ruled, within $\pm 2 \mathrm{~mm}$ at $(400,0)$ and $(0,400)$ <br> Correct region, ie below the line, shaded | ! Line not full length <br> Accept provided the line is at least of length to cross the white 'pass' section of the graph, and would not be more than $\pm 2 \mathrm{~mm}$ from $(250,150)$ and $(150,250)$ <br> $\checkmark$ Only the white section on the graph within the correct region shaded <br> ! Follow through Accept provided their boundary is a straight line, ruled or unruled, with a negative gradient |
|  | c | c | 1m | Lower value between 200 and 201 inclusive Upper value between 260 and 270 inclusive | ! Follow through from parts (a) and (b) Follow through can be awarded only if at least one mark was awarded in part (b), and their (b) allows follow through for two values of R <br> Mark follow through as shown below <br> Correct line in (b) and correct shading lower value: 200 to 201 inclusive upper value: their upper value from (a) <br> Correct line in (b) but no shading lower value: 200 to 201 inclusive upper value: their upper value from (a) <br> Correct line in (b), incorrect side shaded lower value: their lower value from (a) upper value: 199 to 200 inclusive <br> Incorrect line in (b), 1m for shading lower value: their lower value from the graph upper value: their upper value from (a) |







Index to mark schemes

| Tier |  |  |  | Question | Page |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-5 | 4-6 | 5-7 | 6-8 |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  | Half | 11 |
| 2 |  |  |  | Robot | 12 |
| 3 |  |  |  | Computation | 13 |
| 4 |  |  |  | Olympic Games | 14 |
| 5 |  |  |  | Pictogram key | 15 |
| 6 |  |  |  | Two steps | 15 |
| 7 |  |  |  | Calculations | 16 |
| 8 | 1 |  |  | Areas | 16 |
| 9 | 2 |  |  | Signs | 17 |
| 10 | 3 |  |  | Angles | 18 |
| 11 | 4 |  |  | Factors | 19 |
| 12 | 5 |  |  | Thinking of rules | 20 |
| 13 | 6 |  |  | Car parking | 21 |
| 14 | 7 |  |  | Heights | 21 |
| 15 | 8 | 1 |  | Spinning | 22 |
| 16 | 9 | 3 |  | Interpreting algebra | 23 |
| 17 | 10 | 2 |  | Growing shapes | 25 |
| 18 | 11 | 4 | 1 | Halfway | 26 |
| 19 | 12 | 5 |  | Survey | 27 |
| 20 | 15 | 6 | 2 | Solving | 28 |
|  | 13 | 7 | 3 | Dropping litter | 29 |
|  | 14 | 8 | 4 | Negatives | 31 |
|  | 16 | 9 | 5 | Puzzle | 32 |
|  |  | 10 | 6 | Rectangle rest | 33 |
|  | 17 | 11 | 7 | Mice | 34 |
|  | 18 | 12 | 8 | Straight lines | 35 |
|  | 19 | 13 | 9 | Egyptians | 37 |
|  |  | 14 | 10 | Rearrange | 38 |
|  |  |  | 11 | What number? | 39 |
|  |  | 15 | 12 | Locus | 40 |

Index to mark schemes

| Tier |  |  |  | Question | Page |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :---: |
| $3-5$ | $4-6$ | $5-7$ | $6-8$ |  |  |
|  |  | 16 | 13 | MOT | 41 |
|  |  |  | 14 | Similarity | 42 |
|  |  |  | 15 | Robotic | 42 |
|  |  |  | 16 | Rectangles | 43 |
|  |  |  | 17 | Oranges and lemons | 44 |
|  |  |  | 18 | Prism | 45 |
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